# Kinetic Study on the Anelation of Heterocycles. 3. Pyrazino[2,3-d]pyrimidine Derivatives Synthesized by the Hinsberg Reaction

Maria Inés Abasolo and Beatriz M. Fernández\*

Department of Organic Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy and Biochemistry, University of Buenos Aires, 1113 Junin 956, Buenos Aires, Argentina

# Edgardo Magrini

Desynth S.A., Agustin Alvarez 4185, Villa Martelli, Buenos Aires, Argentina Received February 13, 1992

A kinetic study on the obtainment of pyrazinopyrimidine derivatives (pteridines) was performed. The regioselective synthesis of compounds 6-methylpyrazino[2,3-d]pyrimidin-7(8H)-one (5a) and 7-methylpyrazino[2,3-d]pyrimidin-6(5H)-one (5b), in good yields, was expected by the Hinsberg reaction because the synthesis of both isomers analytically pure was never reported to date. This Hinsberg reaction gave good results to obtain compound 5a, regioselectively and in good yields, either in pH 5 aqueous buffer solution or in methylene chloride, among several organic solvents. However, structural and solvation factors prevented the synthesis of the isomer 5b, which was regioselectively formed in very acid solutions (Ho = -0.89), but in very poor yield.

# J. Heterocyclic Chem., 29, 1279 (1992).

## Introduction.

Four years ago we studied the regioselective synthesis of benzene-substituted quinoxalines I [1] as precursors of derivatives which had shown interesting antineoplastic activity [2]. Recently, several authors have reported that the antineoplastic activity increases if one or two carbon atoms of the quinoxaline benzene ring are replaced by nitrogen atoms [3-6].

Therefore, we have just reported the study of the regioselective synthesis of several pyridopyrazines II by the Hinsberg reaction using 2,3- or 3,4-diaminopyridine and excess glyoxylic, pyruvic or benzoylformic acids as reactants [7-8], trying to obtain precursors in the synthesis of N-1 substituted compounds of II, from which higher anticancer activity could be expected [9].

Here we report the study of the synthesis of pyrazinopyrimidines III (pteridines) by the Hinsberg reaction in order to observe the feasibility of the reaction when the pyrimidine  $\pi$ -deficient substrate is used and, how the introduction of another nitrogen atom (N-7) will affect the antineoplastic activity of N-1 substituted derivatives which we plan to synthesize in the future.

The achievement of regionelective reactions requires the study of their kinetics and mechanisms when different experimental conditions are employed. Thus these aspects of this problem are presented here.

1) Reaction of 4,5-Diaminopyrimidine 1a-a' with Ethyl Pyruvate 2a in Aqueous Buffer Solutions.

Reactions of 1a-a' with 2a were first followed by uv spectrophotometry in buffers of different pH values from -0.89 to 11.5. However, in every aqueous solution the peaks of 1a and the supposedly synthesized products 5a-b were superimposed and the kinetics could not be followed.

The second and fourth derivatives were computationally applied trying to solve this problem but this new method to follow kinetics [10] also failed. The hplc technique gave good results. These are presented in the Table and allowed us to postulate that the reaction proceeds as out-

Table

Reaction Between 4,5-Diaminopyrimidine and Ethyl Pyruvate in Different pH Aqueous Buffer Solutions and in Organic Solvents

	Aqueous Solutions							Organic Solvents							
pΗ	tim	e	t <sub>r</sub> (min)	Compound	% Area	$k_{obs}h^{-1}\left(\mathbf{5a}\right)$	Solvent	time		t <sub>r</sub> (min)	Compound	% Area	$k_{obs} h^{-1} (5a)$		
11.50	12	days	2.05	la	100					2.15	Open Product	5.29			
										2.42	la	5. <b>2</b> 5			
			2.30	la	99.977			23 min	utes	2.69	Open Product	0.38			
	22	minutes	4.81	5a	0.021					3.40	Open Product				
			8.05	5 <b>b</b>	0.002					4.76	<b>(Z)</b>	87.43			
			1.90	Open Product	0.05					1.89	Open Product				
	5.02	days	2.30	la	99.86				_	2.15	Open Product				
			4.80	5 <b>a</b>	0.09			6.94 d	lays	2.43	la	4.17			
7.40						1.12 x 10 <sup>-9</sup>				4.76	<b>(Z)</b>	40.91			
			2.31	la	99.75	(uncertain)				5.77	5a	39.29	_		
	12	days	4.81	5a	0.13		$CH_2Cl_2$						$4.3 \times 10^{-7}$		
			8.03	5b	0.12					1.90	Open Product	7.29			
										2.15	Open Product	10.40			
			2.30	la	99.52			12 d	ays	2.43	la	3.11			
	16	days	4.80	5a	0.24					4.76	(Z)	10.08			
			8.02	5b	0.24		_			5.78	5a	69.12			
	41	minutes	1.90	Open Product	22.47					1.90	Open Product	3.81			
			3.95	(Z)	77.53					2.15	Open Product	4.36			
								16 da	ıys	2.42	la	0.01			
			1.91	Open Product	15.88					4.75	<b>(Z)</b>	0.02			
	3	days	3.96	<b>(Z)</b>	54.09					5.77	5a	91.80			
			5.00	5a	30.02										
5.14						$7.70 \times 10^{-7}$	-			1.94	Open Product	2.09			
			1.91	Open Product	14.30					2.26	Open Product	1.10			
	5.02	days	3.96	<b>(Z)</b>	35.60			23 min	utes	2.62	la	24.31			
		•	5.01	5a	50.10					4.15	(Z)	68.12			
										5.35	5a	4.38			
			1.90	Open Product	2.30					1.96	Open Product	6.02			
	7	days	3.36	Open Product	0.02					2.25	Open Product	1.49	$2.88 \times 10^{-7}$		
			3.95	<b>(Z)</b>	26.38		THF	6.98	days	2.74	Open Product		(uncertain)		
			5.01	5a	71.30					4.16	(Z)	61.63			
							-			5.36	5 <b>a</b>	27.10			
			2.14	la	70.05										
	1	hour	3.18	Open Product	0.12					1.95	Open Product	2.47			
			4.41	<b>(Z)</b>	29.83					2.25	Open Product				
				_				12 da	ys.	2.72	Open Product				
			2.13	la	16.43	0				4.15	(Z)	40.57			
	5.02	days	3.17	Open Product	30.35	5.61 x 10 <sup>-8</sup>				5.35	5 <b>a</b>	46.05			
1.2			440	( <b>Z</b> )	53.22		<u></u>								
			0.74	•						1.47	Open Product				
			2.14	la	5.21					1.68	Open Product				
	12.05	days	3.18	Open Product				90 .		2.18	Open Product				
			4.41	(Z)	83.66			20 min	utes		la (2)	60.67			
			5.05	5 <b>a</b>	9.01					4.24 15.42	( <b>Z</b> ) <b>5b</b>	29.33 0.01			
	<del>.</del>		0.00		04.75		_								
	00		2.03	la O D loo	94.13					1.69	Open Product				
	80 m	inutes	3.45	Open Product	3.10					2.18	Open Product	1.68			

Table (continued)

Aqueous Solutions							Organic Solvents							
$\overline{p}\mathrm{H}$	time		t <sub>r</sub> (min)	Compound	% Area	$k_{obs} h^{-1} (\mathbf{5a})$	Solvent		time	t <sub>r</sub> (min)	Compound	% Area	$k_{obs} h^{-1} (5a)$	
			3.86	Open Product	0.02		DMF	7	days	2.47	la	50.18	1.94 x 10 <sup>-10</sup>	
			5.04	5a	2.75				-	3.04	Open Product	2.53	(uncertian)	
										4.24	<b>(Z)</b>	40.47		
			2.03	la	90.44					15.42	5 <b>b</b>	0.02		
			2.97	Open Product	0.05									
-0.89	5.05 d	lays	3.45	Open Product	0.04	8.05 x 10 <sup>-8</sup>				1.69	Open Product	7.15		
		•	4.29	Open Product	0.20	(uncertian)				2.18	Open Product	0.13		
			5.05	5a	3.22			12	days	2.47	la	40.22		
			11.55	Degradation	6.05					4.24	(Z)	52.46		
				Product						15.41	5 <b>b</b>	0.04		
			2.03	la	9.97					1.94	Open Product	2.09		
			3.45	Open Product	0.01					2.26	Open Product	5.54		
	11.98	days	5.06	. 5a	0.11			20	minute	2.62	la	24.33		
		•	11.55	Degradation	10.07					4.12	Open Product	0.34		
				Product						5.35	<b>(Z)</b>	67.70		
			14.96	Degradation Product	79.84									
										1.96	Open Product	5.74		
										2.28	Open Product	30.55		
							MeOH	6.8	35 days	2.62	la	18.26	8.99 x 10 <sup>-8</sup>	
										5.37	<b>(Z)</b>	34.44	(uncertain)	
										15.66	5b	11.01	,	
										1.95	Open Product	16.66		
										2.25	Open Product	29.05		
								12	days	2.61	la	8.01		
										5.37	<b>(Z)</b>	26.78		
										15.59	5 <b>b</b>	18.50		

lined in Scheme 1.

It can be seen in the Table that the reaction does not take place when 0.1N sodium hydroxide is used as the solvent. This fact points out that anelation requires acid catalysis to proceed. When the pH value is decreased to 7.14 compound  $\bf 5a$  slowly begins to appear having a retention time of 4.8 minutes. But the nucleophilicity of the 5-NH<sub>2</sub> group of  $\bf 1a$  does not differ much from that of the 4-NH<sub>2</sub> group at this pH value, so, the other isomer  $\bf 5b$  also appears, even more slowly ( $\bf t_r = 8.02\text{-}8.03$  minutes). Therefore, at pH 7.14 the classic "Hinsberg mixture" is obtained in low yields. Observed rate constants for both isomers were approximately  $\bf 1.1 \times 10^{-9} \, h^{-1}$  (Table).

In turn, when the reaction was performed at the pH value of maximum stability of the intermediate Schiff bases  $4a \cdot c \ (pH 5) \ [11]$ , 70% of the anelation was achieved in seven days and 5a was regionselectively obtained. It is interesting to point out that reactants disappeared at this pH value in less than 40 minutes during the fast step of the reaction.

$$N = NH_2 \qquad \frac{H^+}{pK_* \sim 4.9} \qquad NH_2 \qquad NH_$$

Lowering the pH to very acid values, for example 1.2 or -0.89 (Table) nucleophilicity of the 5-NH<sub>2</sub> group in 1a' decreases due to the equilibrium  $1a \Rightarrow 1a'$  and reactions to obtain 5a are not favorable. The same occurs when 10% sulfuric acid is used. In this case degradation reactions take place in a short time (Table).

The same equilibrium  $1a \Rightarrow 1a'$  supports the fact that only the  $-NH_2$  group at C-5 can undergo nucleophilic attack upon the alpha C=0 group of 2a to give 6-methylpyrazino[2,3-d]pyrimidin-7(8H)-one (5a) as the sole product of the reaction. It can be concluded that in aqueous solutions 5a can be smoothly obtained regioselectively by applying acid catalysis.

2) Reaction of 4,5-Diaminopyrimidine la-a' with Ethyl Pyruvate 2a in Anhydrous Organic Solvents.

Reaction of 1a with 2a leads to the open products 4a-c during the fast step of the reaction. These products appear during the period of different retention times between 1.90 and 4.80 minutes. Afterwards, the Z-isomer anelates to give 5a during the slow step of the reaction.

We can see in the Table that anelation, at room temperature, comes up to 40% of **5a** in seven days and 92% of **5a** in 16 days when anhydrous methylene chloride is used as the solvent. On the other hand, 50% of **5a** is achieved in

 $R = H, CH_3, OCH_3, NH_2, NO_2$ 

 $R = H, CH_s, C_cH_s$ 

12 days when anhydrous THF is used.

Obviously, the major solvation by these two non-polar solvents (dichloromethane and THF) through a polarized accommodation between N-3 and 4-NH<sub>2</sub> groups (IV) facilitates the attack of the 5-NH<sub>2</sub> group upon the  $\alpha$ -keto group of 2a to give 5a in a shorter time (Scheme). We must also consider that both solvents favour the solubility of the intermediates, so the anelation of the Z-isomer is more feasible.

$$\begin{array}{c} N \\ N \\ N \\ N \\ N \end{array} \begin{array}{c} CH_3 \\ N \\ N \\ N \end{array} \begin{array}{c} H \\ N \\ CH_3 \end{array}$$

When the aprotic polar solvent dimethylformamide (DMF) was used, (Table) we expected a higher rate of reaction to give 5a. However, anelation to obtain 5a did not occur and, instead, traces of 5b were observed. We presume that decomposition reactions can take place in this solvent or a higher degree of solvation can occur at the Schiff bases level which inhibits the anelation of the Z-isomer to give 5a. Though 5b is obtained in DMF, yields are very poor. Nevertheless, the reaction in this solvent is regioselective.

The same happened when methanol was used as solvent. The good solubility of **1a** and **2a** in this solvent favours the reaction but the possibility of hydrogen bonds during the formation of the open intermediates may retard an elation and only 19% of **5b** appears after twelve days (Table).

Other methods reported in the literature did not give good results when **5a** or **5b** were intended to be synthesized [12-13], and always the Hinsberg mixture was obtained mixed with other open intermediate products.

We could obtain 5a in good yields (>70%) via the Hinsberg reaction but this is not a good method to synthesize the isomeric 7-methylpyrazino[2,3-d]pyrimidin-6(5H)-one (5b).

Thus, we can conclude that the better regioselective

synthesis of **5a** is the Hinsberg modified an elation in aqueous solution at pH 5.14 or in anhydrous dichloromethane.

Therefore, the investigation of a good regionelective method to obtain 5b still remains open.

#### EXPERIMENTAL

The kinetic measurements were performed with a Hewlett-Packard 1050 hplc spectrophotometer. The nmr spectra were obtained on a Varian FT 80A spectrometer with tetramethylsilane as the internal reference. The ir spectra were recorded on a Beckman IR-20A spectrophotometer using potassium bromide pellets. Analytical samples on the starting materials were used to perform the kinetic studies.

6-Methylpyrazino[2,3-d]pyrimidin-7(8H)-one (5a).

Compound 5a was synthesized by the Hinsberg reaction from 0.5 g (4.54 mmoles) of 1a and 5 ml of 2a (45.6 mmoles) in aqueous buffer solution of pH 5.14 (10 ml) at room temperature with stirring. The resulting solid crystallized from ethanol (white needles) affording 5a (70% yield), mp 198-199°. Spectral properties of compound 5a are described in the literature [12-13]. Reference compound 5a was synthesized according to [12].

7-Methylpyrazino[2,3-d]pyrimidin-6(5H)-one (5b).

Reference compound **5b** was synthesized according to the method of Albert and Reich [12]. Yellow powder was obtained and crystallized from methanol (20% yield), mp 270-271°. The mp had never been reported until now. Spectral properties of **5b** are described in [12].

## Kinetic Measurements.

Reactions were performed at 25° using buffers of pH -0.89, 1.2, 5.14, 7.40 and 0.1N sodium hydroxide. The pH of each solution above 0.40 was measured at 25° in a Metrohm E632 pH meter using a standardized glass electrode. Values 1.2 and -0.89 were taken from Hine [14]. Reactions performed with initial concentrations 2 x 10<sup>-2</sup> to 2 x 10<sup>-4</sup> M of 1a-a' showed a first-order dependence on the pyrimidine derivative at every hydrogen concentration at which anelation occurred. All rate constants were obtained from 1.40 x 10<sup>-4</sup> M initial concentration of 1a-a' and 9.80 x  $10^{-2}$  M of 2a-a'.

The appearance of **5a-b** and also the Z-isomer was followed by tlc on Silica gel  $F_{254-366}$  plates and kinetics were followed by hplc with a Hewlett-Packard 1050 apparatus, using a  $C_8$  column (2.1 x 200 mm),  $\lambda = 290$  nm, methanol:2-propanol:water (50:10:40) as the mobile phase and Q = 0.250 ml/minute.

Rate constants (Table) were obtained from the area under the peaks, and linear profiles accounted for pseudo-first order kinetics.

General Kinetic Procedure.

Solutions (1.40 x  $10^{-4}$  M) of **1a-a'** and (9.80 x  $10^{-2}$  M) of **2a-a'** in buffers or organic solvents were prepared and thermostated at  $25^{\circ} \pm 0.1^{\circ}$ . Both solutions were mixed and an aliquote was injected in the hplc apparatus. The appearance of **5a** was followed at 290 nm until 70-80% of its final concentration was achieved. When this was not possible, observed rate constants were reported as "uncertain".

# Acknowledgement.

Financial support for this investigation was given by the Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET).

### REFERENCES AND NOTES

- [1] M. I. Abasolo, C. H. Gaozza and B. M. Fernández, J. Heterocyclic Chem., 24, 1771 (1987).
- [2] S. E. Piatti, N. Nuber, L. Krotz and C. H. Gaozza, An. Quim., 85, C, 105 (1989).
- [3] M. Nasr, K. D. Pull and V. L. Narayanan, J. Pharm. Sci., 74, 831 (1985).
- [4] M. Satta, A. Peana, M. C. Alemanni, G. Pirisino and F. Savelli, Farmaco, Ed. Sci., 41, 54 (1986).
- [5] I. Ya. Postovskii and V. N. Charushin, Khim-Farm. Zh., 16, 1329 (1982).
  - [6] C. Temple. J. Montgomery, R. Elliott and G. Wheeler, European

- Patent Application EP 90681 (Cl. CO7 D471/04); Chem. Abstr., 100, 121106f (1984).
- [7] M. I. Abasolo, D. Bianchi, F. Atlasovich, C. H. Gaozza and B. M. Fernández, J. Heterocyclic Chem., 27, 157 (1990).
- [8] D. G. Bekerman, M. I. Abasolo and B. M. Fernández, J. Heterocyclic Chem., 29, 129 (1992).
- [9] We are now trying to obtain N-1 substituted derivatives of II replacing the N<sub>1</sub> hydrogen by 5-piperazinopentyl, 5-aminopentyl, 3-n-propylaminopropyl, and 4-n-propylpiperazinopropyl groups which will be submitted for screening as antineoplastic agents to the National Cancer Institute, USA.
- [10] This method was developed by us to follow and compare kinetics of o-phenylendiamine with ethyl pyruvate. Results were good and the observed rate consants were within a variation of  $\pm 0.05\%$ . The new method was more precise than the classic uv spectrophotometry. (Unpublished results).
  - [11] E. H. Cordes and W. P. Jencks, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 84, 832 (1962).
  - [12] A. Albert and F. Reisch, J. Chem. Soc., 127 (1961).
  - [13] A. Albert and E. P. Sergent, J. Chem. Soc., 3357 (1964).
- [14] J. Hine, Physical Organic Chemistry, Mc-Graw Hill, Tokyo, 2nd Ed, 1962.